



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,247 08/04/2003		08/04/2003	Joseph P. Odenwalder	PA510B1C1	7773
23696	7590	06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
-	m Incorpo	rated	NGUYEN, DUC M		
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2685		
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/634,247	ODENWALDER, JOSEPH P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc M. Nguyen	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period for reply will be period for repl	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	January 2005.	,				
	nis action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's response filed on 1/28/05. Claims 1-18 are now pending in the present application. **This action is made final.** 

# Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,603,751 to Odenwalder in view of Czaja (US 6,078,570).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, Odenwalder discloses a method for measuring signal strength during a frequency search excursion period (see claims 7-13), which would include all the claimed limitation except for the search excursion period begins during the first frame and continues through an initial portion of a second frame. However, Czaja discloses a method for measuring signal strength during a frequency search excursion period (see Active search time in Fig. 4 and col. 8, lines 44-53), wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/634,247 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Czaja mentions that the Active search time can be continued to next frame if necessary, which would have been obvious that the search would continue through an initial portion of a second frame, in order to complete measurements if needed while ensuring that data lost may be recovered. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Czaja to Odenwalder for providing a search excursion period as claimed, in order to complete measurements if needed while ensuring that data lost may be recovered.

Regarding claims 2-6, 8-12, 14-18, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, Odenwalder as modified would disclose the step of increasing the amount of power as claimed (see claims 8-13), to minimize loss of forward and reverse symbols impacted by sampling of the search frequency.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As to the newly added limitation of a target Eb/No, it is noted that Odenwalde discloses such limitation (see claims 10-13). Therefore, the double patenting still proper.

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## 5. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box A.F

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry) (571)-273-7893 (for informal or draft communications).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Edward Urban (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-7899.

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-7259.

Duc M. Nguyen

June 28, 2005